THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.24443 of 2005

Date:16.11.2005

S.Appala Konda.

--------PETITIONER

The Additional Agent to the Government, E.G.District and others.

---------RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The Special Deputy Tahsildar, Tribal Welfare, Rajavommangi Mandal, East Godavari District, initiated proceedings against the petitioner, under the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation 1 of 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970, before the Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Rampachodavaram, 2nd respondent herein, in L.T.R.P.No.189 of 2004, alleging that the petitioner is not entitled to remain in possession of the land referred to therein. The petitioner contested the same and ultimately, the 2nd respondent directed the eviction of the petitioner. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner filed C.M.A.No.63 of 2005 before the 1st respondent. Pending the appeal, the petitioner also filed W.P.No.5903 of 2005 before this Court and the same was disposed of directing to maintain *status quo*. Thereafter, the appeal was rejected on merits. The petitioner filed a revision before the 4th respondent, together with an application for stay, against the order in the C.M.A. His grievance is that the 4th respondent has not passed any orders so far in the application for stay, and that in the meanwhile, the 3rd respondent is taking steps to evict the petitioner. He seeks appropriate directions in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Social Welfare.

The petitioner availed the remedy of revision before the 4th respondent, aggrieved by the orders passed by the Special Deputy Collector and confirmed by the 1st respondent. Unless the interests of the petitioner are protected, during the pendency of the revision, he is evicted in the meanwhile, nothing remains to be decided in the revision.

Hence, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing that the order in L.T.R.P.No.189 of 2004, on the file of the 2nd respondent, shall remain stayed, till the revision filed by the petitioner before the 4th respondent is decided. The revision shall be disposed of as early as possible. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

16.11.2005

Jsu